

STABILITY STUDIES OF CONJUGATED NANOCONSTRUCTS OF DACARBAZINE IN VARIOUS CONDITIONS AS PER ICH GUIDELINES

Harish Kumar Chandrawanshi and Dr Neelesh Chaubey

College of Pharmacy, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences

Corresponding Author Email Id: hchandrawanshi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background

The design of the stability studies for the finished pharmaceutical product (FPP) should be based on knowledge of the behaviour and properties of the API, information from stability studies on the active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) and on experience gained from preformulation studies, similar marketed formulations and investigational FPPs. The likely changes during storage and the rationale for the selection of attributes to be tested in the stability studies should be stated.

Objective

To determine stability studies of conjugated nanoconstructs of dacarbazine in various conditions as per ICH guidelines. Stability studies should include testing of stability-indicating attributes of the FPP, i.e. those that are susceptible to change during storage and are likely to influence quality, safety and/or efficacy. The testing should cover, as appropriate, the physical, chemical, biological and microbiological attributes, preservative content.

Methods:

Stability studies were carried out for DPGN-3. The prepared Dacarbazine loaded PLGA formulations were stored at The following conditions i.e., $5 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$, $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $65\% \pm 5\%$ RH (long term stability), $40 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $75\% \pm 5\%$ (accelerated stability). Every three months the drug content, *in vitro* release studies were determined for the nano-formulation subjected for long term stability studies.

Results

The prepared Dacarbazine PLGA loaded formulations were stored at the various conditions as per ICH guideline, in every three months the drug content, *in vitro* release studies were carried out for the nanoformulation and the results were recorded.

Conclusion

The stability studies it was witnessed that the prepared the loaded PLGA nanoformulation DPGN-3 will be stable at $5 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$, $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $65 \pm 5\%$ RH for a period of 12 months.

Key Words: Dacarbazine, PLGA, Nanoformulation, melanoma, ICH

INTRODUCTION

Stability studies should be performed on each individual strength, dosage form and container type and size of the FPP unless bracketing or matrixing is applied (refer to ICH Q1D).

Stability testing should be conducted on the dosage form packaged in the primary container-closure systems proposed for marketing. If the secondary container-closure system has protective properties, and labelling clearly indicates that the product is to be stored in the primary and secondary packaging (e.g. "store tablets in blisters in the provided cartons"), or if the product is packaged in a semi-permeable container where components from the secondary packaging can migrate into the product, the secondary packaging may also form part of the packaging system for stability samples.

Long-term, accelerated and, where appropriate, intermediate storage conditions for FPPs are detailed in the sections below. The general case applies if the FPP is not specifically covered by a subsequent section. Alternative storage conditions can be used if justified.

Table 1. Long-term, accelerated and intermediate storage conditions

Study	Storage condition	Minimum time period covered by data at submission
Long-term ^a	$25^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ\text{C}/40\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$ or $30^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ\text{C}/35\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$	12 months or 6 months as referred to in section 2.2.7
Intermediate ^b	$30^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ\text{C}/35\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$	6 months
Accelerated	$40^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ\text{C}/\text{not more than (NMT) } 25\% \text{ RH}$	6 months

^aWhether long-term stability studies are performed at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/40\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$ or $30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/35\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$ is determined by the climatic condition under which the FPP is intended to be marketed. Testing at $30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/35\% \text{ RH}$ can be an alternative to the storage condition at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/40\% \text{ RH}$.

^bIf $30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/35\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$ is the long-term condition, there is no intermediate condition.

Products meeting the specifications when stored under the accelerated conditions and the long-term storage conditions appropriate to the intended market, as specified in the table above, have demonstrated the integrity of the packaging in semi-permeable containers. A significant change in water loss alone at the accelerated storage condition does not necessitate testing at the intermediate storage condition. However, data should be provided to demonstrate that the pharmaceutical product would not have significant water loss throughout the proposed shelf life if stored at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/40\% \text{ RH}$ or $30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/35\% \text{ RH}$.

For long-term studies conducted at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/40\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$, that fail the accelerated testing with regard to water loss and show significant change with respect to any other parameters, additional testing at the “intermediate” storage condition should be performed as described under the general case to evaluate the temperature effect at $30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$.

A 5% loss in water from its initial value is considered a significant change for a product packaged in a semi-permeable container after an equivalent of three months’ storage at $40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and not more than (NMT) 25% RH. However, for small containers (1 mL or less) or unit-dose products, a water loss of 5% or more after an equivalent of three months’ storage at $40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{NMT } 25\% \text{ RH}$ may be appropriate, if justified.

An alternative approach to studies at the low RH as recommended in the table above (for either long-term or accelerated testing) is to perform the stability studies under higher RH and to derive the water loss at the low RH through calculation. This can be achieved by experimentally determining the permeation coefficient for the container-closure system or, as shown in the example below, using the calculated ratio of water loss rates between the two humidity conditions at

the same temperature. The permeation coefficient for a container-closure system can be experimentally determined by using the worst-case scenario (e.g. the most diluted of a series of concentrations) for the proposed FPP.

Example of an approach for determining water loss

For a product in a given container-closure system, container size and fill, an appropriate approach for deriving the rate of water loss at the low RH is to multiply the rate of water loss measured at an alternative RH at the same temperature, by a water loss rate ratio shown in the table below. A linear water loss rate at the alternative RH over the storage period should be demonstrated.

The purpose of the stability study is to establish, based on testing a minimum number of batches of the FPP as specified in section 2.2.3, a shelf life and label storage instructions applicable to all future batches of the FPP manufactured and packaged under similar circumstances. The degree of variability of individual batches affects the confidence that a future production batch will remain within specification throughout its shelf life.

METHODS

The comparison of The results of optimized Dacarbazine PLGA nanoparticles, created on The particle size, zeta potential, drug content, entrapment efficiency and *in vitro* release studies, The formulation DPGN-3 was indicated The least particle size, higher zeta potential, drug content, entrapment efficiency and sustained drug release. Hence among The different trials of Dacarbazine loaded PLGA nanoparticles. DPGN-3 has been identified to carry out further studies.

Table 2. Characterization studies report of optimized nanoformulation

Code	Particle size	Zeta potential	Drug content	Entrapment	<i>In vitro</i> drug
	(nm)	(mV)	(µg/ml)	Efficiency (%)	Release (%)
					(24 hrs)
DPGN-3	246±2.4	-27±1.4	0.955±1.3	83.15±1.4	86.67±2.4

Stability studies

As per the procedure, stability studies were carried out for DPGN-3. The prepared Dacarbazine loaded PLGA formulations were stored at The following conditions i.e., 5 ± 3 °C, 30 ± 2 °C, $65\% \pm 5\%$ RH (long term stability), 40 ± 2 °C, $75\% \pm 5\%$ (accelerated stability). Every three months the drug content, *in vitro* release studies were determined for the nano-formulation subjected for long term stability studies the results were shown in The following Table.

The drug content of DPGN-3 stored at 5 ± 3 °C for a period of 12 months exhibited a slight fall in the drug content when compared to the initial drug content of the nano-formulation after storing the sample for 12 months.

Table 3. Stability studies-*In vitro* drug release of DPGN-3 stored at 5 ± 3 °C (after 0,3,6,9 & 12 months as per QA1 (R))

Time in hrs	0 Months	3 Months	6 Months	9 Months	12 Months
0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	29.32 $\pm$ 1.8	29.12 $\pm$ 1.8	29.10 $\pm$ 1.8	28.92 $\pm$ 1.8	28.05 $\pm$ 1.6
2	34.15 $\pm$ 1.4	34.00 $\pm$ 2.5	33.72 $\pm$ 2.8	33.12 $\pm$ 1.7	32.24 $\pm$ 1.3
4	38.25 $\pm$ 1.1	37.74 $\pm$ 1.9	37.52 $\pm$ 1.5	37.12 $\pm$ 2.4	36.22 $\pm$ 1.4
6	44.88 $\pm$ 1.7	44.45 $\pm$ 3.2	44.13 $\pm$ 1.8	43.93 $\pm$ 2.8	43.72 $\pm$ 1.6
8	49.21 $\pm$ 3.1	49.80 $\pm$ 3.2	49.31 $\pm$ 1.8	49.12 $\pm$ 2.5	48.68 $\pm$ 2.1
10	56.36 $\pm$ 1.9	54.72 $\pm$ 1.6	54.28 $\pm$ 1.7	54.02 $\pm$ 1.2	53.32 $\pm$ 2.6
12	64.87 $\pm$ 2.7	61.75 $\pm$ 2.6	61.13 $\pm$ 1.7	60.76 $\pm$ 1.8	60.26 $\pm$ 1.5
14	68.69 $\pm$ 3.2	66.74 $\pm$ 3.2	65.58 $\pm$ 2.8	64.39 $\pm$ 1.2	63.92 $\pm$ 2.4
16	74.25 $\pm$ 3.1	71.48 $\pm$ 1.3	71.28 $\pm$ 1.2	70.62 $\pm$ 1.9	70.23 $\pm$ 2.5
18	78.27 $\pm$ 1.4	76.28 $\pm$ 2.1	75.73 $\pm$ 1.5	74.33 $\pm$ 1.6	73.39 $\pm$ 1.7
20	83.35 $\pm$ 1.3	80.34 $\pm$ 2.1	80.00 $\pm$ 2.7	79.22 $\pm$ 1.5	79.62 $\pm$ 1.5
22	85.73 $\pm$ 1.8	83.84 $\pm$ 1.8	83.24 $\pm$ 2.2	83.02 $\pm$ 1.6	82.70 $\pm$ 1.1
24	85.25 $\pm$ 2.5	84.27 $\pm$ 2.6	83.72 $\pm$ 1.4	82.41 $\pm$ 3.2	82.16 $\pm$ 1.4

Table 4. Stability studies-*In vitro* drug release of DPGN-3 stored at 30 ± 2 °C, $65 \pm 5\%$ **RH (after 0,3,6,9 & 12 months as per QA1 (R))**

Time in hrs	0 Months	3 Months	6 Months	9 Months	12 Months
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	32.94 $\pm$ 1.4	29.42 $\pm$ 2.5	28.21 $\pm$ 2.1	27.41 $\pm$ 1.5	26.16 $\pm$ 1.3
2	36.86 $\pm$ 1.4	33.34 $\pm$ 1.8	33.12 $\pm$ 1.5	32.46 $\pm$ 1.8	32.08 $\pm$ 2.4
4	40.49 $\pm$ 1.1	36.62 $\pm$ 2.3	36.30 $\pm$ 3.1	36.08 $\pm$ 2.3	35.26 $\pm$ 1.5
6	46.93 $\pm$ 2.1	45.93 $\pm$ 2.1	44.81 $\pm$ 2.5	43.51 $\pm$ 1.5	43.31 $\pm$ 2.2
8	51.79 $\pm$ 3.5	47.36 $\pm$ 2.6	46.62 $\pm$ 2.1	45.73 $\pm$ 1.5	45.36 $\pm$ 1.1
10	56.37 $\pm$ 2.1	53.68 $\pm$ 1.4	53.16 $\pm$ 1.2	52.65 $\pm$ 2.4	52.10 $\pm$ 1.7
12	62.35 $\pm$ 3.5	61.21 $\pm$ 3.1	60.13 $\pm$ 2.3	59.23 $\pm$ 1.3	59.25 $\pm$ 1.4
14	67.32 $\pm$ 1.8	64.52 $\pm$ 2.4	63.17 $\pm$ 1.4	62.82 $\pm$ 1.8	62.66 $\pm$ 2.3
16	74.55 $\pm$ 2.1	71.63 $\pm$ 1.3	71.12 $\pm$ 2.5	70.59 $\pm$ 2.5	70.22 $\pm$ 3.5
18	78.27 $\pm$ 1.4	73.79 $\pm$ 2.6	72.19 $\pm$ 1.8	71.92 $\pm$ 2.5	71.33 $\pm$ 2.1
20	83.33 $\pm$ 1.3	79.65 $\pm$ 3.2	79.86 $\pm$ 3.1	79.26 $\pm$ 1.5	78.65 $\pm$ 1.3
22	84.73 $\pm$ 2.1	81.62 $\pm$ 1.6	80.32 $\pm$ 1.2	79.21 $\pm$ 1.8	79.67 $\pm$ 1.6
24	84.94 $\pm$ 2.2	83.72 $\pm$ 2.1	81.22 $\pm$ 2.5	78.38 $\pm$ 2.6	78.18 $\pm$ 2.1

Table 5. Stability studies-*In vitro* drug release of DPGN-3 stored at 40 ± 2 °C,**75 $\pm$ 5% RH (after 0, 6, & 12 months as per QA1 (R))**

Time in hrs	0 Months	6 Months	12 Months
0	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	31.96 $\pm$ 1.4	21.45 $\pm$ 2.0	12.35 $\pm$ 2.5
2	35.86 $\pm$ 1.8	27.63 $\pm$ 3.2	18.33 $\pm$ 2.2
4	39.49 $\pm$ 1.1	31.65 $\pm$ 3.3	23.51 $\pm$ 2.3
6	45.93 $\pm$ 1.5	35.31 $\pm$ 2.6	27.19 $\pm$ 3.0
8	50.79 $\pm$ 3.0	38.83 $\pm$ 4.3	34.67 $\pm$ 2.1
10	56.37 $\pm$ 2.2	43.72 $\pm$ 2.6	38.42 $\pm$ 2.5
12	63.35 $\pm$ 3.2	49.62 $\pm$ 2.7	36.32 $\pm$ 2.8
14	67.32 $\pm$ 1.8	54.58 $\pm$ 2.0	47.34 $\pm$ 1.3
16	73.55 $\pm$ 2.2	58.73 $\pm$ 2.5	52.28 $\pm$ 3.0
18	77.27 $\pm$ 1.6	64.64 $\pm$ 2.6	54.41 $\pm$ 1.6
20	82.33 $\pm$ 1.8	70.54 $\pm$ 2.6	58.38 $\pm$ 2.2
22	84.73 $\pm$ 2.2	75.64 $\pm$ 3.2	61.72 $\pm$ 1.4
24	85.25 $\pm$ 2.3	77.24 $\pm$ 2.6	62.45 $\pm$ 1.7

The optimized nanoformulation DPGN-3 at 40 ± 2 °C, 75 $\pm$ 5% RH after 0, 6, & 12 months indicated substantial decrease in cumulative drug release when related to The initial cumulative drug release of The same nanoformulation.

On comparing the drug content after storing the optimized nanoformulation DPGN-3 at $5 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$, $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $65 \pm 5\%$ RH, when compared to previous data of the same formulation there was a minor decrease in the drug content after 12 months of the storage.

Every three months the *in vitro* drug release almost remains the same for the optimized nano-formulation DPGN-3 stored at $5 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$, $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $65 \pm 5\%$ RH up to 12 months.

However, The Dacarbazine Nano formulation, which was subjected for accelerated stability studies on $40 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $75 \pm 5\%$ RH, shows a major decrease in drug content and *in vitro* drug release. It may be due to storage at high temperature which leads the degradation of Dacarbazine loaded PLGA nanoparticles. Hence, there is a major decrease in the drug content and cumulative percentage of *in vitro* drug release. Therefore from The stability studies it was observed that the prepared Dacarbazine loaded PLGA nano-formulation DPGN-3 will be stable at $5 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$, $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $65 \pm 5\%$ RH for a period of 12 months.

CONCLUSION

Dacarbazine loaded polymeric nanoparticles were designed optimized and prepared by emulsion polymerization method. The physiochemical characterization and other performances of the formulations were evaluated and found suitable for treatment of Melanoma disease. In this study, Dacarbazine hydrochloride is selected as a model drug. The prepared formulations were found to be stable at room temperature for period of 1 year. Hence the nanoparticulate system of Dacarbazine indicates the possibilities to bring back the drug to the market. However more patient studies are suggested.

REFERENCES

1. Anand p, Kunnumakkara AB and Sundaram C. Cancer is a preventable disease that requires major lifestyle changes. *Pharmaceutical research* 2008; 25:2097–116.
2. Bei D, Zhang T, Murowchick J B and Youan B C. Formulation of Dacarbazine-loaded cubosomes, Part III. Physicochemical characterization. *AAPS Pharm Sci Tech*. 2010; 11: 1243–9.
3. Bharath A, Turner R. Impact of climate change on skin cancer. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 2009; 102: 215-8.

4. Cheng J, Teply BA, Sherifi I, et al. Formulation of Functionalized PLGA-PEG Nanoparticles for In Vivo Targeted Drug Delivery. *Biomaterials*. 2007; 28: 869-76.
5. Cristina Beiu et al, Nanosystems for Improved Targeted Therapies in Melanoma, *J. Clin. Med* 2020; 9: 318.
6. Das S, Khuda-Bukhsh AR. PLGA-loaded nanomedicines in melanoma treatment: Future prospect for efficient drug delivery. *Indian J Med Res* 2016;144:181-93
7. Ding B, Zhang W, Wu X, et al. DR5 mAb-conjugated, DTIC-loaded immuno-nanoparticles effectively and specifically kill malignant melanoma cells in vivo. *Oncotarget*. 2016; 7: 57160-70.
8. International Conference on Harmonization (ICH), Q1A(R) Stability testing of new drug substances and products, ICH Guideline,
9. Jain A. and Jain SK, Formulation and optimization of temozolomide nanoparticles by 3 factor 2 level factorial designs. *Biomatter*. 2013; 3: 25102-13
10. Masanobu Tsubaki et al , Combination therapy with dacarbazine and statins improved the survival rate in mice with metastatic melanoma, *Journal of Cellular Physiology* 2019; 234:11045-8
11. Miglietta A, Cavalli R, Bocca C, Gabriel L and Gasco M R, Cellular uptake and cytotoxicity of solid lipid nanospheres (SLN) incorporating doxorubicin or paclitaxel. *Int J Pharm*, 2000; 210: 61–7
12. Naves, L.B., Dhand, C., Venugopal, J.R. et al. Nanotechnology for the treatment of melanoma skin cancer. *Prog Biomater* 2017; 6: 13–26.
13. Noori K M, Khoshayand MR, Mostafavi SH, et al. Docetaxel Loaded PEG-PLGA Nanoparticles: Optimized Drug Loading, In-vitro Cytotoxicity and In-vivo Antitumor Effect. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research: IJPR*. 2014; 13:819-33.
14. Rafiei P, Haddadi A. Docetaxel-loaded PLGA and PLGA-PEG nanoparticles for intravenous application: pharmacokinetics and biodistribution profile.

International Journal of Nanomedicine. 2017; 12:935-47.

15. Sarala Pamujula et al Cellular delivery of PEGylated PLGA nanoparticles, J Pharm Pharmacol: 2012 January; 64: 61–7.
16. Skin Cancer Foundation (SCF) report 2017;, <http://www.skincancer.org/skin-cancer-information/melanoma>
17. Suwussa Bamrungsap; Zilong Zhao; Tao Chen; Lin Wang; Chunmei Li; Ting Fu; Weihong Tan, A Focus on Nanoparticles as a Drug Delivery System, Nanomedicine, 2012;7:1253-71.
18. Vangara KK, Liu JL, Palakurthi S. Hyaluronic acid-decorated PLGA-PEG nanoparticles for targeted delivery of SN-38 to ovarian cancer. Anticancer Res. 2013; 33:2425-34.
19. Yi JH, Yi SY, Lee HR, Lee SI, Lim DH, Kim JH, Park KW and Lee J, Dacarbazine-based chemotherapy as first-line treatment in noncutaneous metastatic melanoma: Multicenter, retrospective analysis in Asia, , Melanoma Research, 2011; 21: 223-7.
20. Zbytek B, Carlson JA, Granese J, Ross J, Mihm MC, Slominski A. Current concepts of metastasis in melanoma; Expert review of dermatology 2008; 3:569-85.
21. Zhou Y, Sridhar R, Shan L, Sha W, Gu X, Sukumar S. Loperamide, an FDA-approved antidiarrhea drug, effectively reverses the resistance of multidrug resistant MCF-7/MDR1 human breast cancer cells to doxorubicin-induced cytotoxicity. Cancer Invest 2012; 30:119.