Panacea Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 2016:5(2);82-91

International Journal

PJPPS

Panacea Research Library

http://internationaljournal.org.in/journal/index.php/pjpps

Panacea Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences

ISSN: 2349 7025

Review Article **Volume 5 Issue 2**

Innovation in Protein Engineering: A Review

Sunita Sharma

NRI Vidyadayani Institute of Science, Management and Technology, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Article history:

Received: 18th Dec 2016

Received in revised form: 23rd Dec 2016

Accepted: 25th Dec 2016

Available online: 30th Dec 2016

Corresponding author:

Dr. Sunita Sharma *

E-mail: **research78@gmail.com**

***Present address*:**

NRI Vidyadayani Institute of Science, Management and Technology, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

These authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Abstract

The most recent decade has seen an exponential increment of protein structures understood by X-beam crystallography, NMR and cryo-electron microscopy. The current data on the protein precious stone structure and different computational plan tool stash are outfitting protein building more precisely than any time in recent memory. Structure-based protein building includes the utilization of auxiliary learning and programming instruments to adjust protein structures and capacities. Much work has been centered around chemical structure examination by computational devices to distinguish key buildups in charge of particular properties. We watch that structure-based building procedures are potential and good methodologies that incredibly streamline the way toward enhancing certain properties of compounds. Today, attributable to the advancement in recombinant DNA innovation and high-throughput screening strategies, protein designing techniques and applications are turning out to be progressively critical and across the board. In this survey, an ordered audit of protein building techniques and applications is given.

Keywords: Introduction, Epitope prediction, Antibody engineering, Protein engineering strategies

INTRODUCTION

Altered for the attractive properties, local proteins are adjusted to their particular capacities in a cell, however regularly they are inadequately suited to address the issues of different modern applications, for example, temperature [1], pH [2] and saltiness. As of late, protein building has turned into an extremely alluring exploration territory because of its significance in comprehension protein structure-work connections, protein-protein communications and expanding the modern pertinence of chemicals [3].

Protein designing prospects, including the parts of compound amalgamation of DNA, x-beam crystallography, and computational demonstrating of protein structures have been talked about by Ulmer. The scientist exhibited first that, by consolidating data on counterfeit quality amalgamation and precious stone structures, diverse properties of proteins can be adjusted [4]. Amid the most recent 20 years, there has been a nonstop stream of reports depicting huge advancements in the subject area[5]. Normally utilized protein building techniques incorporate level headed outline and coordinated advancement. The decision of technique in this manner is still a case-to-case choice, contingent upon the current basic, robotic learning and the specific enthusiasm of scientists as each of the system has a few favourable circumstances and inconveniences. The normal plan is regularly an organized based methodology. Then again, coordinated development does not require data about protein structure-work relationship [6].

Some of the time analysts connected both balanced and coordinated development together [7]. This building technique is called semi-rational approach for which structure is in part required. The present review won't examine the semi-objective approach as it is not totally organized based strategy. As of late, the accessibility of protein structure has been widening the chance to adjust proteins for attractive qualities or to make new ones by structure-based building approaches. Around 91960 proteins and 4654 protein-nucleic corrosive complex structures are accessible in Protein Data Bank (PDB) until April 8, 2014. The abundance of data about protein structures has drawn awesome consideration from analysts around the globe, which has opened another horizon in basic protein building. As every protein family has no less than one structure accessible now, the homology demonstrating is significantly more precise than some time recently. 1225 Nowadays, homology displaying has turned into an intense strategy to perceive fancied buildups in the homologous proteins among a

specific protein family. Current survey first covers the regular structure-based protein designing methodologies, which outlines late advances and future prospects. At that point different cases are exhibited for the protein designing of catalysts to build dependability, substrate-and cofactor specificities. Finally, we will portray some late accomplishment of auxiliary protein building approach connected for pharmaceutical purposes.

Protein engineering methods

A wide range of protein building techniques are accessible today, attributable to the fast advancement in organic sciences, all the more particularly, recombinant DNA innovation. These strategies are sequentially surveyed in this area, and outlined in Table 1. The most traditional strategy in protein building is the alleged "normal plan" approach which includes "site-coordinated mutagenesis" of proteins (Arnold, 1993). Site-coordinated mutagenesis permits presentation of particular amino acids into an objective quality. There are two normal techniques for site-coordinated mutagenesis. One is known as the "cover expansion" technique. This technique includes two groundwork sets, where one preliminary of every preliminary combine contains the mutant codon with a confused grouping. These four ground works are utilized as a part of the principal polymerase chain response (PCR), where two PCRs happen, and two twofold stranded DNA items are gotten. Upon denaturation and tempering of them, two hetero duplexes are framed, and every strand of the heteroduplex includes the wanted mutagenic codon.

DNA polymerase is then used to fill in the covering 3' and 5' closures of each heteroduplex and the second PCR happens utilizing the nonmutated preliminary set to intensify the mutagenic www.intechopen.com 34 Protein Engineering DNA. The other site-coordinated mutagenesis technique is called "entire plasmid single round PCR". This strategy shapes the premise of the business "QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit" from Stratagene. It requires two oligonucleotide groundworks with the sought mutation(s) which are correlative to the inverse strands of a twofold stranded DNA plasmid format. Utilizing DNA polymerase PCR happens, and both strands of the layout are recreated without dislodging the preliminaries and a transformed plasmid is acquired with breaks that don't cover. DpnI methylase is then utilized for particular processing to get a roundabout, scratched vector with the mutant quality. Endless supply of the scratched vector into skillful cells, the scratch in the DNA is repaired, and a roundabout, changed plasmid is gotten [2-6]

STRUCTURE-BASED ENZYME ENGINEERING

Protein solidness Enzymes stable to temperature, water and antacid are most attractive in modern applications. Diverse protein designing techniques have been connected to enhance catalyst properties among which structurebased building procedure is the exact, particular and for the most part pertinent strategy. Some outstanding exploration works have been distributed as of late. The α-amylase chemical family is in charge of starch hydrolysis and extensively utilized as a part of nourishment, pharmaceutical and material industries[39].A think about by Deng and associates enhances the thermostability of basic α-amylase from Alkalimonas amylolytica through structure-based sound plan and orderly building of its reactant domain[40].Swiss-Model was utilized to distinguish auxiliary homologues and to anticipate structure. From 3D precious stone structure examination, the creators supplanted histidine deposits with leucine (H152L, H164L, H171L, H182L and H209L) to balance out the minimum comparable district in space B. They additionally changed glycine, proline and glutamine deposits in area A to balance out the exceptionally rationed α-helices. After amino corrosive substitution, PoPMuSiC and Accelrys Discovery Studio calculation were connected to foresee the collapsing free vitality change (ΔΔG),and to figure the quantity of hydrogen bonds, salt extensions and aromatic–aromatic cooperations separately. At last, the research center discovered 4 variations among 15-point mutants that show upgraded. thermostability. In any case, in the primary area, we specified that, the most essential undertaking for enhancing compounds is the recognizable proof of basic destinations for applying transformation. A few techniques have been found to foresee which locales/positions ought to be focused for mutagenesis and can add to chemicals thermostability[41].

Distinctive systems have been connected with a specific end goal to decide the buildups in charge of low thermostability. A review by Wang et al. utilized different succession examination (MSA) and atomic element reproductions (MDS) ways to deal with decide instable residue[42]. Utilizing these methodologies, they recognized four buildups (Valine (V), Glycine (G), Aspartic corrosive (D) and Serine (S)) in the dynamic site anticipated that would influence the thermostability of Streptomyces sp. strain S9 xylanase XynAS9. Five mutants (V81P, G82E, V81P/G82E, D185P/S186E, and V81P/G82E/D185P/S186E) were built by supplanting these four buildups with proline or glutamic corrosive and all mutants

demonstrate enhanced warm properties than wild sorts. Likewise, Reetz and collaborators found another way to deal with select the destinations for amino corrosive trades. [7-12].

STRUCTURE BASED ANTIGEN AND ANTIBODY DESIGN

The standard vaccination creation incorporates recognizing evidence of observational antigens on the surface of pathogens. Starting there forward, picked antigens are isolated, inactivated or diminished remembering the true objective to avoid undesirable pollutions in the recipient body. Disregarding the way that the principal control of inoculation creation has not changed, however themodern strategies incorporate the collection of test data and fundamental examination of antigenantibody complex. This gives us a predominant appreciation of antigen affirmation framework. The structure-based antigen arrangement has ascended as a framework for bleeding edge inoculation progression. Its basic is the distinct information around 3D structure of an antigenic protein, which gives atomic level information on the general cover and epitope zone/plan. Differing philosophies using helper and computational science have been associated consistently to recognize epitopes [54]. Starting late, Lassaux and associates presentedan approach planning the assistant and computational science with immunological tests for recognizing epitopes in oligopeptide-limiting protein An (OppA) antigen from Burkholderia pseudomallei[55]. OppA is a bit of the oligopeptide transport structure that required in supplement take-up and reusing of cell-divider peptides. Distinctive propagation devices, to be particular GROMACS 4.5.1 programming pack, GROMOS96 drive field, and the SPC water model were used for epitope disclosure in OppA. At last, three potential epitopes (COMP1–COMP3) were recognized. [11-17]

APPLICATION OF RECOMBINANT DNA TECHNOLOGY IN HUMAN THERAPEUTICS:

1. HORMONES: Diabetes mellitus depicted by hyperglycemia is most essential ailment around the globe. Hyperglycemia is a result of disfigurements in insulin release, action or both. Contamination can be managed by association of recombinant insulin made by S. cerevisae or E. coli, which is in a general sense tantamount as human insulin. It gives quick absorption when appeared differently in relation to ordinary human insulin13. It gives long zenith less action with better effects in the midst of down hours14 . Insulin glargine is a, long acting insulin on a very basic level differentiations from human insulin at 21 position, where

glycine is supplanted by aspargine15. Insulin lispro, made by E. coli, differ from human insulin by transposition of proline and lysine at 28 and 29 positions in beta chain16 . Insulin glulicin is brisk parenteral hypoglycemic conveyed by E. coli, differ from human insulin by supplanting aspargin by lysine at B3and lysine at B26 is supplanted by glutamic acid17 . Recombinant follicle enlivening hormone (rFSH) and recombinant human chorionicgonadotropin (rhuCG) are conveyed by CHO cells, use to treat the infertility in humans18, 19. Somatotropin conveyed by E. coli is a recombinant improvement hormone used to treat advancement hormone need. It differentiate from human advancement hormone by containing additional methionin at N-end of molecule20 [19] 63. Protein designing applications An assortment of protein building applications have been accounted for in the writing. These applications go from biocatalysis for nourishment and industry to natural, therapeutic and nanobiotechnology applications (as outlined in Table 2), and will be examined in this area. 3.1 Food and cleanser industry applications Early reports on the significance of protein building techniques to plan new chemicals for catalyst biotechnological enterprises go back to 1993 (Wiseman, 1993). Especially, the chemicals utilized as a part of sustenance industry were underscored as an imperative gathering of catalysts, the www.intechopen.com Protein Engineering Methods and Applications 41 mechanically vital properties of which could be further enhanced by protein designing. Those properties incorporate thermostability, specificity and reactant proficiency. Furthermore, the plan and generation of new compounds for nourishment industry by utilizing protein building was talked about to deliver new sustenance fixings (James and Simpson, 1996).

In a later survey, new application territories of chemicals were talked about, coming about because of noteworthy advancements in biotechnology, for example, protein designing and coordinated development. Fruitful mixes of balanced protein building with coordinated development (Voigt et al., 2000; Altamirano et al., 2000) have additionally been said and it was accentuated that the consolidated utilization of objective outline, coordinated advancement and the differences of the nature would be a great deal more effective than the utilization of a solitary procedure [21-22]

Conclusion

The change of regular chemicals and proteins by protein building is an undeniably essential

logical field. The outstanding strategies for balanced outline and coordinated development, and in addition new procedures will empower productive and simple adjustment of proteins. New innovations, for example, computational plan, reactant antibodies and mRNA show would be pivotal for once more building of chemicals and furthermore for new zones of protein designing. Protein designing applications cover a wide range, including biocatalysis for sustenance and industry, and in addition restorative, ecological and nanobiotechnological applications. With advances in recombinant DNA innovation apparatuses, "omics" advances and high-throughput screening offices, enhanced techniques for protein building will be accessible, which would empower simple alteration or change of more proteins/compounds for further particular applications.

References:

1. Hirokawa K, Ichiyanagi A, Kajiyama N; Enhancement of thermostability of fungal deglycating enzymes by directed evolution. Applied microbiology and biotechnology, 2008; 78(5): 775-781.

2. Bessler C, Schmitt J, Maurer KH, Schmid RD; Directed evolution of a bacterial alphaamylase: toward enhanced pH-performance and higher specific activity. Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society, 2003; 12(10): 2141-2149.

3. Rubingh DN; Protein engineering from a bioindustrial point of view. Current opinion in biotechnology, 1997,8, 417-422.

4. Ulmer KM; Protein engineering. Science (New York, N.Y.), 1983; 219(4585): 666-671.

5. Brannigan JA, Wilkinson AJ; Protein engineering 20 years on. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology, 2002; 3(12): 964-970.

6. Kuchner O, Arnold FH; Directed evolution of enzyme catalysts. Trends in biotechnology, 1997; 15(12): 523-530.

7. Guo LT, Helgadottir S, Soll D, Ling J; Rational design and directed evolution of a bacterial-type glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase precursor. Nucleic acids research, 2012; 40(16): 7967-7974.

8. Nixon AE, Firestine SM; Rational and "irrational" design of proteins and their use in biotechnology. IUBMB life, 2000; 49(3): 181- 187.

9. Eriksen DT, Lian J, Zhao H; Protein design for pathway engineering. Journal of structural biology, 2014; 185(2): 234-342.

10. Yang H, Liu L, Wang M, Li J, Wang NS, Du G, Chen J; Structure-based engineering of methionine residues in the catalytic cores of alkaline amylase from Alkalimonas amylolytica for improved oxidative stability. Applied and environmental microbiology, 2012; 78(21): 7519-7526.

11. Lim KH, Huang H, Pralle A, Park S; Stable, high-affinity streptavidin monomer for protein labeling and monovalent biotin detection. Biotechnology and bioengineering, 2013; 10(1): 57-67.

12. Demonte D, Drake EJ, Lim KH, Gulick AM, Park S; Structure-based engineering of streptavidin monomer with a reduced biotin dissociation rate. Proteins, 2013; 81(9): 1621-1633.

13. Procko E, Hedman R, Hamilton K, Seetharaman J, Fleishman SJ, Su M, Aramini J, Kornhaber G, Hunt JF, Tong L, Montelione GT, Baker D; Computational design of a protein-based enzyme inhibitor. Journal of molecular biology, 2013, 425(18): 3563-3575.

14. Vajda S, Guarnieri F; Characterization of protein-ligand interaction sites using experimental and computational methods. Current opinion in drug discovery & development, 2006; 9(3): 354-362.

15. Owono LC, Keita M, Megnassan E, Frecer V, Miertus S; Design of Thymidine Analogues Targeting Thymidilate Kinase of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Tuberculosis research and treatment, 2013; 670836.

16. Segura Mora J, Assi SA, FernandezFuentes N; Presaging critical residues in protein interfaces-web server (PCRPi-W): a web server to chart hot spots in protein interfaces. PloS one, 2010; 5(8): 12352.

17. Segura J, Fernandez-Fuentes N; PCRPi-DB: a database of computationally annotated hot spots in protein interfaces. Nucleic acids research, 2011; 39(Database issue): 755-760.

18. Agrawal NJ, Helk B, Trout BL; A computational tool to predict the evolutionarily conserved protein-protein interaction hot-spot residues from the structure of the unbound protein. FEBS letters, 2014; 588(2): 326-333.

19. Tuncbag N, Gursoy A, Keskin O; Identification of computational hot spots in protein interfaces: combining solvent accessibility and inter-residue potentials improves the accuracy. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 2009; 25(12): 1513-1520.

20. Tuncbag N, Kar G, Keskin O, Gursoy A, Nussinov R; A survey of available tools and web servers for analysis of protein-protein interactions and interfaces. Briefings in bioinformatics, 2009; 10(3): 217-232.

21. Ali MH, Taylor CM, Grigoryan G, Allen KN, Imperiali B, Keating AE; Design of a heterospecific, tetrameric, 21-residue miniprotein with mixed alpha/beta structure. Structure (London, England : 1993), 2005; 13(2): 225-234.

22. Ashworth J, Havranek JJ, Duarte CM, Sussman D, Monnat RJJr, Stoddard BL, Baker D; Computational redesign of endonuclease DNA binding and cleavage specificity. Nature, 2006; 441(7093): 656-659.

23. Schneider M, Fu X, Keating AE; X-ray vs. NMR structures as templates for computational protein design. Proteins, 2009; 77(1): 97-110.

24.Wijma HJ, Janssen DB; Computational design gains momentum in enzyme catalysis engineering. Tstabilization. Protein engineering, design & selection : PEDS, 2014; 27(2): 49-58.

25. Damborsky J, Brezovsky J; Computational tools for designing and engineering enzymes. Current opinion in chemical biology, 2014; 19: 8-16.

26. Suplatov D, Kirilin E, Takhaveev V, Švedas V; Zebra: a web server for bioinformatic analysis of diverse protein families. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics, 2013, 1-7.

27. Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T; The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 2006; 22(2): 195-201.

28. Addington TA, Mertz RW, Siegel JB, Thompson JM, Fisher AJ, Filkov V, Fleischman NM, Suen AA, Zhang C, Toney MD; Janus: prediction and ranking of mutations required for functional interconversion of enzymes. Journal of molecular biology, 2013; 425(8): 1378-1389.

29. Feng X, Sanchis J, Reetz MT, Rabitz H; Enhancing the efficiency of directed evolution in focused enzyme libraries by the adaptive substituent reordering algorithm. Chemistry (Weinheim an der Bergstrasse, Germany), 2012; 18(18): 5646-5654.

30. Kuipers RK, Joosten HJ, van Berkel WJ, Leferink NG, Rooijen E, Ittmann E, van Zimmeren F, Jochens H, Bornscheuer U, Vriend G, dos Santos VA, Schaap PJ; 3DM: systematic analysis of heterogeneous superfamily data to discover protein functionalities. Proteins, 2010; 78 (9): 2101- 2113.