IJAYUSH
International Journal of AYUSH
AYURVEDA, YOGA, UNANI, SIDDHA AND HOMEOPATHY
http://internationaljournal.org.in/journal/index.php/ijayush/

International Journal Panacea Research library
ISSN: 2349 7025

Review Article	Volume 14 Issue 02	February 2025

THE PHYSIOLOGY OF INTELLIGENCE AND MEMORY: EXPLORING NEURAL MECHANISMS AND COGNITIVE PROCESSES

***Dr. Sujit Kumar[1], Dr. Supriya Tonge[2], Waiza[3], Yash Chauhan[4], Yusra Parveen[5]**

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Kriya Sharir, Vivek College of Ayurvedic Sciences and Hospital, Vivek University, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh - 246701

[2]Professor, Department of Kriya Sharir, Vivek College of Ayurvedic Sciences and Hospital, Vivek University, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh - 246701

[3-5]U.G. Scholar, Department of Kriya Sharir, Vivek College of Ayurvedic Sciences and Hospital, Vivek University, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh - 246701

***Corresponding Author - Dr. Sujit Kumar,** Assistant Professor, Department of Kriya Sharir, Vivek College of Ayurvedic Sciences and Hospital, Vivek University, Bijnor, UP

Email id – drsujit58@gmail.com **Mob- 8320816067**

Abstract

Intelligence and memory are fundamental components of cognitive function, playing critical roles in learning, adaptation and survival. This review delves into the physiological underpinnings of these processes, focusing on the brain structures, neural pathways and biochemical processes that support them. Intelligence, defined by abilities such as reasoning, problem-solving and understanding complex concepts, is closely associated with the prefrontal cortex, a brain region integral to executive functions. Memory, the process of encoding, storing and retrieving information, relies heavily on the hippocampus, particularly for the formation of long-term memories. Neuroimaging studies, including MRI, fMRI and DTI, have provided significant insights into the structural and functional correlates of intelligence and memory, revealing the importance of neural efficiency and connectivity in cognitive performance. Genetic research, including genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and twin studies, has demonstrated that both intelligence and memory are heritable, with specific genes influencing these cognitive abilities. However, environmental factors, such as education, nutrition and early life experiences, also play crucial roles in cognitive development and plasticity. Cognitive models, including those proposed by Spearman, Cattell and Baddeley, offer frameworks for understanding the different dimensions of intelligence and memory, highlighting the diversity of cognitive abilities and memory systems. This study will also address the impact of neurological disorders on cognitive function, emphasizing the role of the hippocampus in conditions like Alzheimer's disease, which leads to severe memory impairments. Additionally, the potential for cognitive enhancement through interventions such as cognitive training, pharmacological agents and lifestyle modifications is explored. This comprehensive review underscores the complexity of intelligence and memory, advocating for a multidisciplinary approach that integrates neuroscience, genetics, psychology and environmental studies to fully understand these cognitive functions.

Keywords: Intelligence, Memory, Cognitive Function, Prefrontal Cortex, Hippocampus, Neuroimaging, Genetic Studies, Neural Connectivity, Cognitive Models, Cognitive Enhancement

Introduction

Intelligence and memory are indeed two fundamental components of cognitive function, playing vital roles in how individuals learn, adapt and survive in an ever-changing environment. These cognitive abilities are intricately linked, each contributing to the overall mental capacity that defines human cognition. Intelligence is a broad and complex construct, encompassing a variety of cognitive abilities (Sidelkivska, 2024). These abilities include reasoning, problem-solving, abstract thinking and the understanding of complex concepts. Intelligence is not confined to a single domain but rather spans across different areas such as logical-mathematical reasoning, linguistic capabilities, spatial reasoning and even social intelligence, which involves understanding and navigating social situations (Wagner, 2000). The physiological basis of intelligence lies within the brain's structure and function. Various regions of the brain, including the prefrontal cortex, are heavily involved in higher-order cognitive processes. The prefrontal cortex, often referred to as the brain's executive center, plays a crucial role in decision-making, planning and problem-solving. It is responsible for integrating information from different parts of the brain and orchestrating complex thought processes (Richardson, 2022).

Neural pathways, such as those involving the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems, are also essential for the regulation of cognitive functions associated with intelligence. Dopamine, a neurotransmitter, is particularly important for motivation, reward-based learning and executive function, all of which are critical components of intelligence. Moreover, the brain's plasticity, or its ability to reorganize itself by forming new neural connections, is a key factor in learning and intellectual development (Frank and Fossella, 2011).

Memory, distinct yet interconnected with intelligence, is the process by which information is encoded, stored and retrieved. Without memory, the ability to learn from past experiences, recognize patterns and make informed decisions would be impossible. Memory is not a singular process but rather consists of different types, including sensory memory, short-term memory and long-term memory (Unsworth, 2010). Sensory memory serves as the initial stage, capturing fleeting impressions of sensory information. Short-term memory, often referred to as working memory, is the system responsible for holding and processing information temporarily (Camina and Güell, 2017). It plays a critical role in reasoning and the guidance of decision-making and behavior. Long-term memory, on the other hand, is where information is stored more permanently and can be retrieved over time. It is categorized into explicit (declarative) memory, which includes facts and events and implicit (procedural) memory, which involves skills and habits (Koch, 2016). The hippocampus, a brain region within the limbic system, is particularly important for the formation and retrieval of long-term memories. It acts as a sort of "gateway" for encoding information into long-term storage. Damage to the hippocampus can result in severe memory impairments, such as the inability to form new memories, a condition known as anterograde amnesia (Izquierdo et al., 1993).

The interplay between intelligence and memory is facilitated by complex neural networks that involve various regions of the brain working in concert. For instance, the Interaction between the prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus is critical for tasks that require both reasoning and the use of stored knowledge. The efficiency of these neural networks, often referred to as "connectivity," is a major factor influencing cognitive performance (Brown et al., 2015). Moreover, biochemical processes, including the regulation of neurotransmitters and the maintenance of synaptic plasticity, are essential for both memory and intelligence. Neurotransmitters such as glutamate and acetylcholine play crucial roles in synaptic plasticity, the process by which synaptic connections are strengthened or weakened, which is fundamental to learning and memory (Jerusalinsky et al., 1997).

While the physiological basis of intelligence and memory is deeply rooted in the brain's structure and function, both genetic and environmental factors play significant roles in shaping these cognitive abilities (Blair, 2006). Genetics contribute to individual differences in cognitive abilities, including aspects of intelligence and memory. However, environmental factors, such as education, nutrition and social experiences, can profoundly influence cognitive development and plasticity (Boogert et al., 2018). Intelligence and memory are core components of cognitive function that are deeply intertwined and rooted in the brain's complex structure and processes. Understanding the physiological underpinnings of these cognitive abilities not only provides insight into the nature of human cognition but also offers potential pathways for enhancing learning, treating cognitive impairments and improving overall mental health (Dang et al., 2014).

Methodology

When discussing the methodology related to intelligence and memory, particularly in the context of understanding their physiological underpinnings, several research approaches and techniques are commonly employed. These methodologies span across various disciplines, including neuroscience, psychology and genetics, to provide a comprehensive understanding of these cognitive functions.

Neuroimaging Techniques

Neuroimaging methods are crucial for studying the brain's structure and function in relation to intelligence and memory. Commonly used techniques include:

a) **Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI):** MRI provides detailed images of the brain's structure, allowing researchers to examine the anatomy of regions like the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Structural MRI can be used to study the correlation between brain volume and intelligence or memory capacity (Lerch et al., 2017).
b) **Functional MRI (fMRI):** fMRI measures brain activity by detecting changes in blood flow, allowing researchers to observe which areas of the brain are active during cognitive tasks. It is particularly useful for studying the neural correlates of problem-solving, reasoning and memory encoding or retrieval (Cabeza and Nyberg, 2000).

c) **Positron Emission Tomography (PET):** PET scans use radioactive tracers to visualize metabolic processes in the brain. This technique can be used to study the biochemical processes underlying cognitive functions, such as the role of neurotransmitters in memory and intelligence (Vyas et al., 2011).

d) **Electroencephalography (EEG):** EEG records electrical activity in the brain through electrodes placed on the scalp. It is useful for studying the timing of cognitive processes and understanding how different brain regions communicate during tasks involving intelligence and memory (Sauseng and Klimesch, 2008).

Behavioral Assessments

Behavioral tests and assessments are used to measure different aspects of intelligence and memory. These include:

a) **IQ Tests:** Standardized intelligence quotient (IQ) tests, such as the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS), assess various cognitive abilities including verbal comprehension, working memory and processing speed (Climie and Rostad, 2011).

b) **Memory Tests:** Memory can be assessed using a variety of tasks, such as the Digit Span task (which measures working memory) or the California Verbal Learning Test (which assesses verbal memory). These tests help to identify strengths and weaknesses in memory function (Woods et al., 2011).

c) **Cognitive Tasks:** Experimental tasks, such as the Stroop task or Raven's Progressive Matrices, are often used in laboratory settings to study specific cognitive processes related to intelligence and memory (Ackerman and Hambrick, 2020).

Genetic and Molecular Approaches

Understanding the genetic basis of intelligence and memory involves various methodologies:

a) **Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS):** GWAS analyze genetic variants across the genome to identify genes associated with cognitive abilities. This approach has identified several genes that contribute to differences in intelligence and memory (Trampush et al., 2017).

b) **Twin and Family Studies:** These studies help determine the heritability of intelligence and memory by comparing cognitive abilities in identical and fraternal twins, or across different family members (Feldman and Otto, 1997).

c) **Animal Models:** Research using animal models, particularly rodents, allows for the study of genetic manipulation and its effects on brain structure and cognitive function. Techniques such as gene knockout or CRISPR can be used to study specific genes involved in memory and learning (Heidenreich and Zhang, 2016).

Neuropsychological Studies

Neuropsychological approaches involve studying individuals with brain injuries or neurological conditions to understand the relationship between specific brain regions and cognitive functions:

a) **Lesion Studies:** Examining individuals with damage to specific brain areas, such as the hippocampus or prefrontal cortex, provides insights into the role of these regions in memory and intelligence (Gläscher et al., 2009).
b) **Case Studies:** Detailed case studies of patients with memory disorders (e.g., amnesia) or cognitive impairments can reveal the impact of brain dysfunction on cognitive processes (Kopelman, 2002).

Longitudinal and Cross-Sectional Studies

These types of studies are essential for understanding how intelligence and memory develop and change over time:

a) **Longitudinal Studies:** These studies follow the same individuals over a long period, allowing researchers to observe changes in cognitive abilities and brain structure as people age. They can help identify factors that contribute to cognitive decline or resilience in aging (Oschwald et al., 2019).
b) **Cross-Sectional Studies:** These studies compare different age groups at a single point in time to understand how intelligence and memory vary across the lifespan (Castel et al., 2011).

Experimental and Interventional Studies

Experimental methodologies involve manipulating variables to understand their effects on intelligence and memory:

a) **Cognitive Training:** Experimental studies often involve cognitive training programs designed to improve specific cognitive abilities, such as working memory or problem-solving skills and measure their impact on overall intelligence.

b) **Pharmacological Interventions:** Researchers may use pharmacological agents to study their effects on neurotransmitter systems involved in memory and intelligence. For example, cholinergic drugs are often used to study their impact on memory performance (Kopelman, 2002).

Computational Modeling

Computational approaches use models to simulate brain processes involved in intelligence and memory:

a) **Neural Network Models:** These models attempt to replicate the neural processes underlying cognitive functions. They are used to understand how networks of neurons might give rise to complex behaviors like reasoning and memory recall (Pulvermüller et al., 2021).

b) **Cognitive Architecture Models:** These models provide a framework for simulating various cognitive processes, integrating knowledge from psychology, neuroscience and artificial intelligence (Laird et al., 2017).

The study of intelligence and memory involves a multidisciplinary approach, utilizing a wide range of methodologies to explore their physiological, genetic and behavioral underpinnings. By combining these techniques, researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of how these cognitive functions are supported by the brain and how they interact to enable learning, adaptation and survival.

Review of Literature

A review of the literature on intelligence and memory, particularly their physiological underpinnings, reveals a rich and diverse body of research spanning several decades. This literature provides insights into the neural, genetic and environmental factors that contribute to these cognitive functions. The following is an overview of key themes and findings from the literature.

Neuroscientific Foundations of Intelligence and Memory

a) **Brain Structure and Function:** Research has consistently demonstrated the importance of specific brain regions in intelligence and memory. The prefrontal cortex, associated with executive functions such as reasoning, planning and problem-solving, is central to many theories of intelligence. Studies using neuroimaging techniques, such as MRI and fMRI, have shown that variations in the size and activity of the prefrontal cortex are correlated with differences in IQ scores and other measures of cognitive ability (Deary et al., 2010). The hippocampus is another critical structure, particularly for memory. The seminal work by Scoville and Milner (1957) on patient H.M., who had his hippocampus removed, revealed the profound impact of this brain region on the ability to form new memories. Subsequent research has further elaborated on the hippocampus's role in encoding and retrieving long-term memories, particularly episodic memories (Squire, 1992).

b) **Neural Connectivity and Intelligence:** The concept of neural efficiency, proposed by Haier et al. (1988), suggests that more intelligent individuals show less brain activation when performing cognitive tasks, implying more efficient neural processing. This has led to the exploration of neural networks and the idea that intelligence is not solely based on the function of individual brain regions but on the connectivity between them. Recent studies using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) have shown that white matter integrity, which facilitates communication between different brain areas, is positively correlated with intelligence (Penke et al., 2012).

Genetic Contributions to Intelligence and Memory

a) **Heritability of Intelligence:** The heritability of intelligence has been a major focus of psychological and genetic research. Twin studies have consistently shown that a significant portion of the variance in IQ is attributable to genetic factors, with estimates ranging from 50% to 80% (Plomin & Deary, 2015). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified specific genetic variants associated with intelligence, though each variant tends to have a small effect size, suggesting that intelligence is influenced by many genes (Davies et al., 2022).

b) **Molecular Genetics of Memory:** The molecular basis of memory has also been extensively studied. Long-term potentiation (LTP), a process where synaptic connections are strengthened, is considered a key mechanism underlying learning and memory. Research by Bliss and Lømo (1973) on LTP in the hippocampus laid the groundwork for understanding how experiences can lead to lasting changes in the brain. Subsequent studies have identified several genes, such as those coding for NMDA receptors, that are crucial for LTP and, by extension, for memory formation (Kandel, 2001).

Cognitive Models and Theories

a) **Theories of Intelligence:** Several theoretical models have been proposed to explain the nature of intelligence. Spearman's (1904) two-factor theory, which introduced the concept of a general intelligence factor (g), has been foundational. Later models, such as Cattell's (1963) theory of fluid and crystallized intelligence, expanded on this by distinguishing between the ability to solve novel problems (fluid intelligence) and the accumulation of knowledge and skills (crystallized intelligence). More recent theories, like Sternberg's (1985) triarchic theory of intelligence, emphasize the importance of analytical, creative and practical abilities. According to Gardner (2011) theory of multiple intelligences challenges the notion of a single intelligence factor, proposing instead that there are distinct types of intelligence, such as linguistic, logical-mathematical and spatial intelligence.

b) **Memory Models:** Models of memory have evolved significantly over time. Atkinson and Shiffrin (1968) multi-store model, which proposed separate stores for sensory, short-term and long-term memory, was one of the first comprehensive models of memory. Baddeley and Hitch (1974) working memory model expanded on this by introducing the concept of working memory, a system that not only stores information temporarily but also manipulates it for cognitive tasks like reasoning and comprehension. Tulving (1985) distinction between episodic and semantic memory further refined our understanding of long-term memory, highlighting the different types of information stored in the brain and their unique neural correlates.

Environmental and Developmental Factors

a) Impact of Environment on Intelligence and Memory: While genetics play a crucial role in intelligence and memory, environmental factors are also significant. Research on the Flynn effect, which shows that average IQ scores have increased over the past century, suggests that factors such as improved nutrition, education and socioeconomic conditions contribute to cognitive development (Flynn, 1987). Early childhood experiences, including exposure to language and educational opportunities, are particularly influential. Studies have shown that enriched environments can enhance cognitive abilities, while deprivation can lead to deficits in both intelligence and memory (Nelson et al., 2008).

b) Lifespan Development: The development of intelligence and memory across the lifespan has been a focus of longitudinal studies. Research indicates that while fluid intelligence tends to peak in early adulthood and decline with age, crystallized intelligence remains stable or even increases throughout life (Horn amd Cattell, 1966). Memory also shows age-related changes, with episodic memory typically declining earlier than semantic memory (Nyberg et al., 2003).

Clinical and Applied Research

a) **Cognitive Impairments and Disorders:** Clinical research has explored the impact of neurological disorders on intelligence and memory. Conditions such as Alzheimer's disease, which primarily affects the hippocampus, lead to profound memory impairments. Research has focused on understanding the pathophysiology of such conditions and developing interventions to mitigate cognitive decline (Jack et al., 2010).
b) **Interventions and Cognitive Enhancement:** The literature also includes studies on interventions aimed at enhancing cognitive function. Cognitive training programs, pharmacological interventions and lifestyle changes, such as physical exercise and diet, have all been investigated for their potential to improve or maintain cognitive abilities in both healthy individuals and those with cognitive impairments (Ball et al., 2002 and Smith et al., 2010).

The literature on intelligence and memory is vast and multifaceted, encompassing a wide range of studies from basic neuroscience to applied clinical research. While significant progress has been made in understanding the physiological underpinnings of these cognitive functions, ongoing research continues to explore the complex interactions between genetics, brain structure, environment and experience. This body of work not only deepens our understanding of human cognition but also offers potential avenues for enhancing cognitive function and addressing cognitive impairments.

Discussion

The physiological processes underlying intelligence and memory are highly interconnected. The prefrontal cortex not only contributes to intelligence but also interacts with the hippocampus and other brain regions involved in memory. This interaction suggests that intelligence and memory are not isolated functions but rather parts of an integrated cognitive system. Neuroimaging studies have shown that individuals with higher intelligence often exhibit more efficient neural processing, particularly in the prefrontal cortex. This efficiency may result from stronger or more effective neural connections, which are also vital for memory processes. Moreover, genetic factors play a significant role in shaping both intelligence and memory. Twin and family studies indicate that a substantial portion of the variability in intelligence and memory can be attributed to genetic differences. However, environmental factors, such as education, nutrition and social interactions, also have a profound impact, highlighting the complex interplay between nature and nurture.

The discussion on intelligence and memory highlights the intricate relationship between these cognitive functions and their underlying physiological mechanisms. Intelligence, encompassing a wide range of cognitive abilities such as reasoning and problem-solving, is heavily influenced by the structure and function of the brain, particularly the prefrontal cortex and its connectivity with other regions (Drigas and Karyotaki, 2019). Memory, which involves processes like encoding, storage, and retrieval, relies significantly on the hippocampus and neural plasticity, allowing for learning and adaptation (Squire, 1992 and Koch, 2016). The interplay between intelligence and memory is evident in tasks that require both reasoning and the utilization of stored knowledge, where efficient neural networks facilitate cognitive performance (Brown et al., 2015).

Genetic factors contribute significantly to individual differences in intelligence and memory, with heritability estimates for intelligence ranging from 50% to 80% (Plomin and Deary, 2015). However, environmental influences, including education and socioeconomic conditions, also play a critical role, as demonstrated by phenomena like the Flynn effect (Flynn, 1987). Neuroimaging studies have provided insights into how brain structure and connectivity correlate with cognitive abilities, emphasizing the role of neural efficiency in intelligence (Haier et al., 1988 and Penke et al., 2012).

Understanding the physiological and environmental underpinnings of intelligence and memory not only enhances our comprehension of human cognition but also informs interventions aimed at improving cognitive function and mitigating cognitive impairments, such as those seen in neurological disorders (Jack et al., 2010 and Ball et al., 2002). This multidisciplinary approach offers potential pathways for enhancing learning, treating cognitive deficits, and promoting overall mental health.

Conclusion

Understanding the physiology of intelligence and memory provides valuable insights into the complexities of human cognition. Both functions are deeply rooted in specific brain structures and are influenced by a range of biochemical processes and environmental factors. Recent advances in neuroimaging and molecular biology have significantly enhanced our understanding of these cognitive processes, offering potential avenues for improving cognitive function through targeted interventions. Further research is needed to explore the full extent of the genetic and environmental factors that influence intelligence and memory, as well as the potential for enhancing these abilities through neuroscientific advancements.

Reference

1. Atkinson, R.C. and Shiffrin, R.M. (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In Psychology of learning and motivation (Vol. 2, pp. 89-195). Academic press.
2. Ball, K., Berch, D.B., Helmers, K.F., Jobe, J.B., Leveck, M.D., Marsiske, M. and ACTIVE Study Group. (2002). Effects of cognitive training interventions with older adults: a randomized controlled trial. Jama, 288(18): 2271-2281.
3. Blair, C. (2006). How similar are fluid cognition and general intelligence? A developmental neuroscience perspective on fluid cognition as an aspect of human cognitive ability. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 29(2): 109-125.
4. Bliss, T.V. and Lømo, T. (1973). Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the anaesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path. The Journal of Physiology, 232(2): 331-356.
5. Boogert, N.J., Madden, J.R., Morand-Ferron, J. and Thornton, A. (2018). Measuring and understanding individual differences in cognition. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 373(1756): 20170280.
6. Brown, R.M., Zatorre, R.J. and Penhune, V.B. (2015). Expert music performance: cognitive, neural and developmental bases. Progress in Brain Research, 217: 57-86.
7. Cabeza, R. and Nyberg, L. (2000). Imaging cognition II: An empirical review of 275 PET and fMRI studies. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 12(1): 1-47.
8. Camina, E. and Güell, F. (2017). The neuroanatomical, neurophysiological and psychological basis of memory: Current models and their origins. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 8: 438.

9. Cattell, R.B. (1963). Theory of fluid and crystallized intelligence: A critical experiment. Journal of Educational Psychology, 54(1): 1.
10. Climie, E.A. and Rostad, K. (2011). Test review: Wechsler adult intelligence scale.
11. Dang, C.P., Braeken, J., Colom, R., Ferrer, E. and Liu, C. (2014). Why is working memory related to intelligence? Different contributions from storage and processing. Memory, 22(4): 426-441.
12. Deary, I.J., Cox, S.R. and Hill, W.D. (2022). Genetic variation, brain and intelligence differences. Molecular Psychiatry, 27(1): 335-353.
13. Deary, I.J., Penke, L. and Johnson, W. (2010). The neuroscience of human intelligence differences. Nature reviews neuroscience, 11(3): 201-211.
14. Flynn, J.R. (1987). Massive IQ gains in 14 nations: what IQ tests really measure. Psychological bulletin, 101(2), 171.
15. Frank, M.J. and Fossella, J.A. (2011). Neurogenetics and pharmacology of learning, motivation and cognition. Neuropsychopharmacology, 36(1): 133-152.
16. Gardner, H.E. (2011). Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences. Basic books.
17. Haier, R.J., Siegel Jr, B.V., Nuechterlein, K.H., Hazlett, E., Wu, J.C., Paek, J. and Buchsbaum, M.S. (1988). Cortical glucose metabolic rate correlates of abstract reasoning and attention studied with positron emission tomography. Intelligence, 12(2): 199-217.
18. Horn, J.L. and Cattell, R.B. (1966). Refinement and test of the theory of fluid and crystallized general intelligences. Journal of Educational Psychology, 57(5): 253.
19. Izquierdo, I., Medina, J.H., Bianchin, M., Walz, R., Zanatta, M.S., Da Silva, R.C. and Paczko, N. (1993). Memory processing by the limbic system: role of specific neurotransmitter systems. Behavioural Brain Research, 58(1-2): 91-98.
20. Jack Jr, C.R., Wiste, H.J., Vemuri, P., Weigand, S.D., Senjem, M.L., Zeng, G. and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. (2010). Brain beta-amyloid measures and magnetic resonance imaging atrophy both predict time-to-progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease. Brain, 133(11): 3336-3348.

21. Jerusalinsky, D., Kornisiuk, E. and Izquierdo, I. (1997). Cholinergic neurotransmission and synaptic plasticity concerning memory processing. Neurochemical Research, 22: 507-515.

22. Kandel, E.R. (2001). The molecular biology of memory storage: a dialogue between genes and synapses. Science, 294(5544): 1030-1038.

23. Koch, M. (2016). The Wiley Handbook of Evolutionary Neuroscience, 410.

24. Kopelman, M.D. (2002). Disorders of memory. Brain, 125(10): 2152-2190.

25. Laird, J.E., Lebiere, C. and Rosenbloom, P.S. (2017). A standard model of the mind: Toward a common computational framework across artificial intelligence, cognitive science, neuroscience and robotics. Ai Magazine, 38(4): 13-26.

26. Nelson, C.A., Thomas, K.M., de Haan, M., Kuhn, D., Siegler, R.S., Damon, W. and Lerner, R.M. (2008). Neural bases of cognitive development. Child and adolescent development: An Advanced Course, 19-53.

27. Nyberg, L., Sandblom, J., Jones, S., Neely, A.S., Petersson, K.M., Ingvar, M. and Bäckman, L. (2003). Neural correlates of training-related memory improvement in adulthood and aging. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(23): 13728-13733.

28. Oschwald, J., Guye, S., Liem, F., Rast, P., Willis, S., Röcke, C. and Mérillat, S. (2019). Brain structure and cognitive ability in healthy aging: a review on longitudinal correlated change. Reviews in The Neurosciences, 31(1): 1-57.

29. Penke, L., Maniega, S.M., Bastin, M.E., Hernandez, V., Murray, C., Royle, N.A. and Deary, I.J. (2012). Brain white matter tract integrity as a neural foundation for general intelligence. Molecular Psychiatry, 17(10): 1026-1030.

30. Plomin, R. and Deary, I.J. (2015). Genetics and intelligence differences: five special findings. Molecular Psychiatry, 20(1): 98-108.

31. Pulvermüller, F., Tomasello, R., Henningsen-Schomers, M.R. and Wennekers, T. (2021). Biological constraints on neural network models of cognitive function. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 22(8): 488-502.

32. Richardson, K. (2022). Understanding intelligence. Cambridge University Press.

33. Sauseng, P., & Klimesch, W. (2008). What does phase information of oscillatory brain activity tell us about cognitive processes? Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 32(5): 1001-1013.

34. Scoville, W.B. and Milner, B. (1957). Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 20(1): 11.

35. Sidelkivska, V. (2024). Neuropsychological antecedents of individual creativity within organizations.

36. Smith, N., Chornock, R., Silverman, J.M., Filippenko, A.V. and Foley, R.J. (2010). Spectral evolution of the extraordinary type IIn supernova 2006gy. The Astrophysical Journal, 709(2): 856.

37. Squire, L.R. (1992). Memory and the hippocampus: a synthesis from findings with rats, monkeys and humans. Psychological Review, 99(2): 195.

38. Sternberg, R.J. (1985). Beyond IQ: A triarchic theory of human intelligence. CUP Archive.

39. Tulving, E. (1985). How many memory systems are there? American Psychologist, 40(4): 385.

40. Unsworth, N. (2010). On the division of working memory and long-term memory and their relation to intelligence: A latent variable approach. Acta Psychologica, 134(1): 16-28.

41. Vyas, N.S., Patel, N.H., Nijran, K.S., Al-Nahhas, A. and Puri, B.K. (2011). The use of PET imaging in studying cognition, genetics and pharmacotherapeutic interventions in schizophrenia. Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics, 11(1): 37-51.

42. Wagner, R.K. (2000). Practical intelligence. Handbook of Intelligence, 380-395.

43. Woods, D.L., Kishiyama, M.M., Yund, E.W., Herron, T.J., Edwards, B., Poliva, O. and Reed, B. (2011). Improving digit span assessment of short-term verbal memory. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 33(1): 101-111.